

REMARKS

Request for Reconsideration

Applicants have carefully considered the matters raised by the Examiner in the outstanding Office Action but remain of the opinion that patentable subject matter is present. Applicants respectfully request reconsideration of the Examiner's position based on the above amendments, the Replacement Drawings and the following remarks.

The Present Invention

One of the unique aspects of the present Invention is that the display section is divided into a first display area and a second display area wherein the moving image is displayed in the first display area and a predetermined still image is displayed in the second area and such images are displayed simultaneously.

The independent claims, Claims 1 and 11 have been amended hereto highlight this aspect of the present Invention and it is submitted that this aspect of the present Invention defines over the cited references.

Claims Status

Claims 1-3, 5-8, 10-13, 15-18 and 20 are pending in this Application. Claims 4, 9, 14 and 19 have been canceled herein.

Claims 1 and 11 have been amended herein to recite that the display section is divided into a first display area and a second display area and that display is a still and moving image, simultaneously.

Claims 3, 5-8 and 10 have been amended because of the amendments made to Claim 1 while Claims 13, 15-18 and 20 have been amended because of the amendments made to Claim 11.

Claims 10, 11, 15 and 16 have been amended to overcome the claim objections.

Furthermore, Claims 1 and 11 have been amended herein to overcome the 112, second paragraph, rejection.

Respectfully, no new matter has been added by way of these amendments.

Specification Objections

The Title of the Application had been objected to as being non-descriptive. Applicants have amended the Title herein and deem it to be descriptive.

Figure 8 had been objected to as needing a legend entitled "Prior Art". The sheet containing Figure 8 has been amended herein to add the legend "Prior Art".

A request had been made to check the Specification for minor errors. In reviewing the Specification, it was noted that reference character 100 was missing from Figure 1 and that the reference some of the boxes in Rom 12 were mislabeled. Specifically, boxes with characters 12d, 12e were mislabeled and the box with reference character 12e was missing in Figure 1. A marked-up copy of Figure 1 as well as a Replacement Sheet of Figure 1 is provided herewith. Support for the amendments made to Figure 1 can be found on the second full paragraph on page 21. Respectfully, no new matter has been added by way of these amendments to the drawings.

Prior Art Rejection

Claims 1-20 had been rejected as being unpatentable over a combination of Kijima versus Hashimoto.

As noted above, the claims have been amended to recite that the image display controller controls to display a moving image based on the image information of the predetermined area acquired by the image information acquiring section in a first display area, corresponding to the predetermined area of the image capturing area, of the display section during the signal output processing, and to simultaneously display a predetermined still image in a second display area other than the first display area in the display section. Respectfully, neither Kijima or Hashimoto teach or suggest to divide the display section into a first display area and a second display area and to simultaneously display in the display section a still image and a moving image.

In view of the foregoing, it is respectfully submitted that the claims, as amended herein, are patentable over the cited references taken alone or in combination.